TEACHING NOTES the binomial expansion, and

TWO CUBE ROOT FORMULAE FOR USERS OF SIMPLE ELECTRONIC CALCULATORS

Chan Sing Chun Outram Secondary School

Many a time pupils have come up to me asking whether it would be possible for them to extract cube roots of numbers on simple electronic calculators (i.e. those without

and Y^X keys). I have heard a salesman telling a prospective buyer of simple electronic calculators that square roots & cube roots cannot be performed on these calculators.

The following two formulae for cube roots do not seem to be well-known among pupils.

(1) If
$$\sqrt[3]{x} \simeq a$$
, then $\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{x}{a^2} + 2a\right)$ is a better approximation.

(2) $\sqrt[3]{1 + x} \simeq \frac{6 + 7x}{6 + 5x}$ where 0 < x < 1.

 $\sqrt[3]{x} \simeq a$, then $\sqrt[3]{x} = a + h$ where h is the small If error. Thus the billing is a second second

So formula (1), g(d + a) = xformula (2) generally, provided we start with a good approximation of the second s

 $x \simeq a^3 + 3a^2h$,

vothe; sliguate; entoy

$$h \simeq \frac{x - a^3}{3a^2}$$

Hence Subber of extreme Prancissings ati & solitements

$$\sqrt[3]{x} \simeq \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{x}{a^2} + 2a \right)$$

The proof of (2) is not difficult. It is within the reach of pupils who have done the binomial expansion, and is left as an exercise.

Suppose we want to find $\sqrt[3]{68}$. We all know that $\sqrt[3]{68} \simeq 4$ so a = 4. Thus $\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{x}{a^2}+2a\right) = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{68}{4^2}+8\right) = 4.083$ is a better approximation which is correct to 3 significant figures. If we repeat the process by taking a = 4.083, then $\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{68}{4.083^2}+2(4.083)\right) = 4.081655$ which is correct to 7 significant figures.

Let us find the same cube root by using formula (2):

$$\frac{3}{\sqrt{68}} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{64}} + \frac{4}{4} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{64}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{16}\right)$$
$$= 4 \frac{3}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{16}}}$$
$$= 4 \left(\frac{6 + \frac{7}{16}}{6 + \frac{5}{16}}\right) = 4 \left(\frac{103}{101}\right)$$

= 4.079 which is correct to 2 significant figures.

So formula (1) gives a better approximation than formula (2) generally, provided we start with a good approximation. Formula (2) always gives an error in defect less

than $\frac{2x^3}{81}$

My pupils enjoy the proofs and application of these formulae. I hope this brief note will help others to enjoy mathematics & its applications.