

# A Vacuous Theorem

July 15, 2024

Given  $n > 1$ , let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $n \times n$  matrices. Matrix multiplication is not commutative, i.e., generally  $AB \neq BA$ . However, there is a wonderful exception. If  $AB = I_n$ , the  $n$ -dimensional identity matrix, then it follows that  $BA = I_n$ . If this happens  $A$  and  $B$  are inverses of each other.

Let  $X$  be  $n \times m$ , where  $n > m$ . An  $m \times n$   $W$  is a left inverse of  $X$  if  $WX = I_m$ . An  $m \times n$   $Y$  is a right inverse of  $X$  if  $XY = I_m$ . Here is a theorem:

- Statement: Given  $n > m$ , let  $X$  be  $n \times m$ , and  $W, Y$  be its left and right inverses respectively. Then  $W = Y$ .
- Proof:  $W = W(XY) = (WX)Y = Y$ .

However, the theorem has no content: there are no matrices that satisfy the assumption. Specifically, it is impossible to find  $n \times m$   $X$  and  $m \times n$   $Y$  that satisfy  $XY = I_m$ .

This is an intriguing dilemma. On the one hand, we want to say a theorem is true. On the other hand, it seems odd, given that our theorem cannot be illustrated by any example. Perhaps there is a distinction between theorem and truth?